

REMARKS

Claims 25 and 26 are the pending this application. Each has been rejected under as indefinite under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. The Examiner has indicated, however, that the claims would be allowable if they were rewritten or amended to over the rejection.

Claim 25 has been amended to address the issues raised by the examiner in paragraphs 4 – 7 of the Office action. Therefore, in view of the above amendment, Applicant submits that the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Applicant further requests that this amendment be entered for the reasons that: 1) it places the claims in an allowable condition (see Office action at para. 9); it complies with requirements as to form (see 37 CFR 1.116(b)(1)); it places the claims in better form for consideration on appeal (see 37 CFR 1.116(b)(2)); and it was not presented earlier for the reason that the instant Office action was the first action to raise the issues addressed (see 37 CFR 1.116(b)(3)).

Dated: September 21, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Mark D. Schneider

Registration No.: 43,906

GIFFORD, KRASS, SPRINKLE, ANDERSON
& CITKOWSKI, P.C.

2701 Troy Center Drive, Suite 330

Post Office Box 7021

Troy, Michigan 48007-7021

(248) 647-6000

(248) 647-5210 (Fax)

Attorney for Applicant